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## Summary

- Compared to the previous year, the current survey response rate is lower but remains consistent with the response rates over the past four years.
- Overall, students are satisfied with their experiences at TTUHSC. As measured by individual item means, satisfaction at the institutional level is comparable to the previous year.
- Satisfaction with the reliability of wireless connectivity across the institution continues to be an area of needed improvement. Compared to the previous year, satisfaction decreased on all campuses, except Amarillo and El Paso. Wireless reliability on the Abilene campus is a noticeable concern.
- Satisfaction with the learning management system (i.e. Sakai/Hub, Blackboard) is noticeably higher compared to the previous year even though there are several open-ended comments which reflect dissatisfaction.
- Parking availability for students in the Paul L. Foster School of Medicine appears to be a concern. Parking was a concern for School of Pharmacy students in the previous year, but satisfaction has improved considerably.
- Across campuses, students continue to be highly satisfied with the cleanliness of campus buildings.
- Students from the Gayle Greve Hunt School of Nursing, Paul L. Foster School of Medicine, and School of Medicine appear highly satisfied with their school's Student Affairs personnel and services.
- As in previous years, items related to student health continue to be an area to monitor. Item means were lower compared to the previous year.
- Compared to other schools, Paul L. Foster School of Medicine and School of Nursing students express higher satisfaction with their opportunities to learn about, from, and with students and/or practitioners from other healthcare professions.
- In their open-ended comments, students cite faculty, institutional culture, and curricula most often as favorite aspects of being a TTUHSC student. Suggestions for improvement are quite diverse but often relate to their academic experiences.


## Methodology

The 2012-2013 Student Satisfaction Survey (SSS) was administered to a sample of TTUHSC students in Spring 2013. The data collection period lasted two weeks (April 16-29, 2013). Targeted participants included a sample of students selected from the student enrollment report for Spring 2013. Of the total student population of 4,244 in Spring 2013, approximately two-thirds were targeted for SSS participation ( $\mathrm{N}=2,815$ ). The targeted sample was representative of the institution in terms of school composition.

The initial invitation to complete the online survey was sent via email by the Office of Institutional Planning \& Assessment (OIPA). A subsequent general reminder was sent via email to targeted participants one week before data collection ended. Information was posted on the OIPA website, and posters and flyers were displayed on the Lubbock campus. Members of the Student Affairs Workgroup were also asked to promote the survey in their schools and across campuses. Seven $\$ 500$ scholarships were offered as incentives for participation.

## Demographics

When data collection ended, more than one thousand students ( $n=1,297$ ) had answered at least one question on the survey for an initial response rate of $46 \%$. 1,208 students actually completed the survey, resulting in a final response rate of $43 \%$. (This compares to $49 \%$ in 2011-2012, 40\% in 2010-2011, 47\% in 2009-2010, and $36 \%$ in 2008-2009.) Respondents represented the following schools and locations.

## SCHOOL <br> LOCATION

- Gayle Greve Hunt School of Nursing (GGHSON)
- Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences (GSBS)
- Paul L. Foster School of Medicine (PLFSOM)
- School of Allied Health Sciences (SOAHS)
- School of Medicine (SOM)
- School of Nursing (SON)
- School of Pharmacy (SOP)
- Abilene (ABL)
- Amarillo (AMA)
- Dallas/Ft. Worth (DFW)
- El Paso (EP)
- Lubbock (LBB)
- Midland (MDL)
- Odessa (ODS)
- Distance education ${ }^{*}$ (DST)
*Only GGHSON, SOAHS, and SON respondents were given the following option: "More than $50 \%$ of my coursework is completed through distance education."

Figure 1 illustrates the final response rates by school. Response rates are calculated by dividing the number of respondents in each school who completed the survey by the total number of targeted students in that school. (Note: The number of respondents is provided at the bottom of each bar.) SOAHS had the highest number of respondents $(=323)$ across all schools. While GGHSON had the lowest number of respondents (=35), this represented the highest response rate across all schools (=76\%). The sample includes slightly fewer students from SOAHS and SON than would be expected. However, the sample is fairly representative of the student population in terms of school composition overall.


Figure 2 illustrates the percent of respondents who finished the survey by location. Nearly two of five respondents ( $=38 \%$ ) attended classes primarily in Lubbock. More than one-fourth ( $=28 \%$ ) indicated that the majority (more than 50\%) of their coursework is completed via distance education. The remaining respondents were distributed across TTUHSC locations. The sample is fairly representative of the student population in terms of location.


In addition to school and location, respondents provided their year of study, gender, and race/ethnicity at the end of the survey. Table 1 provides the corresponding breakdowns. (Note: Some percentages may not add to $100 \%$ due to rounding.)

Table 1. Respondent Demographics

| YEAR OF STUDY |  | GENDER |  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Year 1 | $35 \%$ | Female | $67 \%$ | White (non-Hispanic/Latino) | $57 \%$ |
| Year 2 | $32 \%$ | Male | $29 \%$ | Asian | $14 \%$ |
| Year 3 | $20 \%$ | Prefer not to answer | $4 \%$ | Hispanic or Latino | $13 \%$ |
| Year 4 | $9 \%$ |  |  | Black or AA (non-Hispanic/Latino) | $5 \%$ |
| Year 5 | $2 \%$ |  |  | Other | $4 \%$ |
| Year 6 | $2 \%$ |  |  | Prefer not to answer | $7 \%$ |
| $>6$ years | $1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

As the data indicate, about two-thirds of the respondents were in their first or second year of study. The majority of respondents were female, and most classified themselves as White (non-Hispanic/Latino). Asian and Hispanic or Latino students constituted the next largest student sub-groups. Thus, the respondent composition by gender and race/ethnicity was reflective of the overall student population.

## Quantitative Data

For most survey items, students were asked to indicate their level of satisfaction using a 6-point scale (6=Very Satisfied, 5=Satisfied, 4=Somewhat Satisfied, 3=Somewhat Dissatisfied, 2=Dissatisfied, and 1=Very Dissatisfied). In the Student Life section, students were asked to indicate their level of agreement with several statements using a 6-point scale (6=Strongly Agree, 5=Agree, 4=Somewhat Agree, 3=Somewhat Disagree, 2=Disagree, and 1=Strongly Disagree). Respondents were also given Not Applicable and Not Important to Me options for almost all items.

For all items, the possible range of means is 1.00-6.00. All means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ). For those means which are colorcoded as red, an arrow indicates if the mean has increased or decreased since the 2011-2012 survey administration.

Institutional Results (pp. 7-15): Appendix A presents survey results for the institution as a whole. For each item, the following data are provided:

- Mean level of satisfaction/agreement
- Total number of respondents for all responses
- Percent distribution across response options
- Number of respondents for a specific response
- Color-coded graph illustrating the distribution of responses

Results by School (pp. 16-29): Appendix B presents survey results according to school. For each item, the following data are provided:

- Total number of respondents for the scaled responses
- Mean level of satisfaction/agreement
- Standard deviation

Note: Additional school-specific reports (formatted like Appendix A) are available upon request.

Results by Campus (pp. 30-41): Appendix $C$ presents survey results according to campus. For each item, the following data are provided:

- Total number of respondents for the scaled responses
- Mean level of satisfaction/agreement
- Standard deviation


## Qualitative Data

At the end of the survey, students were given an opportunity to provide open-ended comments in response to the following two prompts:

- What do you like most about your experiences as a TTUHSC student?
- Do you have any suggestions for improving your experiences at TTUHSC? If so, please describe.

These prompts differ from previous survey administrations, in which students were asked to provide additional comments or recommendations in a single prompt.

Respondents provided 763 comments to the first prompt and 608 comments to the second prompt. Any comments which indicated the student did not have a comment (e.g., $N / A$, none) or were otherwise not useful (e.g., all, nothing) were eliminated. This left 739 and 480 usable comments, respectively, which were grouped into broad categories based on their content. Some comments addressed multiple issues and have been placed in more than one category.

Appendix D (pp. 42-44) presents a broad overview of those comments. Due to the sensitive nature of some comments, actual comments will be provided to selected institutional leaders only. They will determine how best to distribute them in their respective areas.

## Using Survey Data to Promote Continuous Improvement

More often than not, it is difficult to determine what to do with information collected from general surveys like the Student Satisfaction Survey. It is one thing to collect the data-it is another thing entirely to use the information to promote continuous improvement. The first step in this process is to put the current data into context. Consider the following questions:

- Do these results support other existing data?
- Does additional information need to be gathered? (e.g. focus groups, interviews)

Once you have gained an appropriate perspective, identify an area of potential improvement or a strength upon which to build. Consider what your desired outcome will be. Then, identify and implement a potential strategy for improvement. After a reasonable timeframe, evaluate whether the strategy has been successful. Did you achieve the desired outcome?

Continuous improvement is a process. Sometimes strategies for improvement will be successful-sometimes they will not. Although the ultimate outcome is indeed important, what is equally critical is the documentation of your efforts to make those improvements. Contact the Office of Institutional Planning \& Assessment for additional guidance in this process.

## APPENDIX A. INSTITUTIONAL RESULTS



* Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: $3.50-4.49$, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
** Dark green indicates the highest level of satisfaction/agreement. Bright red indicates the highest level of dissatisfaction/disagreement.

* Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
** Dark green indicates the highest level of satisfaction/agreement. Bright red indicates the highest level of dissatisfaction/disagreement.
Light gray indicates Not Applicable. Dark gray indicates Not Important To Me .

|  |  | Very <br> Satisfied | Satisfied | Somewhat Satisfied | Somewhat Dissatisfied | Dissatisfied | Very Dissatisfied | Not Applicable | Not Important To Me | Distribution*** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FINANCIAL AID | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean** } \\ \mathrm{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | 5.27 | 38.6 | 33.2 | 7.8 | 1.9 | 1.3 | . 2 | 15.8 | 1.4 |  |  |
| 1. Hepruness of Financial Aid employees | 1238 | 478 | 411 | 96 | 23 | 16 | 2 | 195 | 17 |  |  |
| 2. My awareness of financial aid options | 4.97 | 30.9 | 34.3 | 14.4 | 5.9 | 1.7 | . 6 | 10.8 | 1.4 |  |  |
|  | 1238 | 383 | 425 | 178 | 73 | 21 | 7 | 134 | 17 |  |  |
|  | 5.08 | 31.7 | 35.7 | 11.5 | 3.3 | 1.7 | . 5 | 14.1 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 3. Efriency of the financial aid process | 1238 | 393 | 442 | 142 | 41 | 21 | 6 | 175 | 18 |  |  |


*istance students from SOAHS, SON, and GGHSON did not evaluate this statement.
** Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
*** Dark green indicates the highest level of satisfaction/agreement. Bright red indicates the highest level of dissatisfaction/disagreement.
Light gray indicates Not Applicable. Dark gray indicates Not Important To Me .


Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: $3.50-4.49$, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
${ }^{* *}$ Dark green indicates the highest level of satisfaction/agreement. Bright red indicates the highest level of dissatisfaction/disagreement.
Light gray indicates Not Applicable. Dark gray indicates Not Important To Me.

|  |  | Very Satisfied | Satisfied | Somewhat Satisfied | Somewhat Dissatisfied | Dissatisfied | Very Dissatisfied | Not Applicable | Not Important To Me | Distribution*** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LIBRARY RESOURCES | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean** } \\ \mathrm{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1. Helpfulness of librarians | 5.39 | 38.1 | 30.4 | 4.9 | . 6 | . 5 | . 3 | 22.2 | 3.1 |  |  |
|  | 1235 | 470 | 376 | 60 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 274 | 38 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Hours of operation* | 4.83 | 26.3 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 16.2 | 3.4 |  |  |
|  | 891 | 234 | 294 | 89 | 51 | 31 | 18 | 144 | 30 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Study facilities available in the library* | 4.86 | 27.0 | 32.1 | 13.6 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 15.7 | 3.0 |  |  |
|  | 891 | 241 | 286 | 121 | 22 | 37 | 17 | 140 | 27 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Accessibility of onsite library resources (e.g. books, journals in library)* | 5.11 | 33.2 | 34.2 | 10.7 | 1.7 | 2.1 | . 9 | 13.6 | 3.6 |  |  |
|  | 891 | 296 | 305 | 95 | 15 | 19 | 8 | 121 | 32 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Accessibility of online library resources (e.g. books, journals online) | 5.23 | 42.4 | 38.1 | 9.6 | 2.4 | 1.1 | . 8 | 4.0 | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | 1235 | 524 | 470 | 119 | 30 | 14 | 10 | 50 | 18 |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Accessibility of search software (e.g. OVID, Micromedex, MD Consult) | 5.24 | 40.4 | 35.5 | 8.7 | 2.2 | 1.1 | . 7 | 9.4 | 2.0 |  |  |
|  | 1235 | 499 | 438 | 107 | 27 | 14 | 9 | 116 | 25 |  |  |

* Distance students from SOAHS, SON, and GGHSON did not evaluate this statement.
** Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
*** Dark green indicates the highest level of satisfaction/agreement. Bright red indicates the highest level of dissatisfaction/disagreement.
Light gray indicates Not Applicable. Dark gray indicates Not Important To Me

|  |  | Very Satisfied | Satisfied | Somewhat Satisfied | Somewhat Dissatisfied | Dissatisfied | Very Dissatisfied | Not Applicable | Not Important To Me | Distribution*** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVISING/MENTORING | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean** } \\ \mathrm{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1. Academic advising in my field of study | 5.08 | 35.7 | 33.8 | 10.3 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 11.9 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 1231 | 440 | 416 | 127 | 49 | 26 | 13 | 147 | 13 |  |
| 2. Academic advisor's knowledge about my degree program | 5.25 | 40.7 | 32.7 | 8.1 | 2.4 | 1.4 | . 6 | 13.2 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 1231 | 501 | 402 | 100 | 29 | 17 | 7 | 162 | 13 |  |
| 3. Faculty/staff knowledge of career opportunities in my field of study | 5.18 | 40.7 | 35.3 | 9.6 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 8.2 | . 9 |  |
|  | 1231 | 501 | 434 | 118 | 36 | 16 | 14 | 101 | 11 |  |


|  |  | Very Satisfied | Satisfied | Somewhat Satisfied | Somewhat Dissatisfied | Dissatisfied | Very Dissatisfied | Not Applicable | Not Important To Me | Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL TECHNOLOGY | Mean $\mathrm{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1. Audio-video equipment used in classrooms (e.g. microphones, projectors)* | 4.80 | 28.0 | 40.5 | 15.8 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 3.8 | - |  |
|  | 885 | 248 | 358 | 140 | 54 | 36 | 15 | 34 | - |  |
| 2. Reliability of wireless connectivity on my campus* | 4.03 | 15.7 | 30.6 | 19.9 | 12.5 | 6.8 | 11.0 | 3.3 | . 2 |  |
|  | 885 | 139 | 271 | 176 | 111 | 60 | 97 | 29 | 2 |  |
| 3. Reliability of Learning Management System (e.g., Sakai/The Hub) | 4.75 | 26.2 | 38.1 | 18.4 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 5.0 | . 9 |  |
|  | 1227 | 321 | 467 | 226 | 70 | 45 | 26 | 61 | 11 |  |
| 4. Helpfulness of Help Desk employees | 5.04 | 30.4 | 36.8 | 9.5 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 15.6 | 1.4 |  |
|  | 1227 | 373 | 451 | 117 | 34 | 27 | 17 | 191 | 17 |  |
| 5. Usability of my school's website | 4.90 | 31.5 | 41.9 | 15.7 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 2.3 | . 8 | . 3 |  |
|  | 1227 | 387 | 514 | 193 | 67 | 24 | 28 | 10 | 4 |  |

* Distance students from SOAHS, SON, and GGHSON did not evaluate this statement
${ }^{* *}$ Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ )
*** Dark green indicates the highest level of satisfaction/agreement. Bright red indicates the highest level of dissatisfaction/disagreement.
Light gray indicates Not Applicable. Dark gray indicates Not Important To Me.



## Distance students from SOAHS and SON did not evaluate this section.

** Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
*** Dark green indicates the highest level of satisfaction/agreement. Bright red indicates the highest level of dissatisfaction/disagreement.
Light gray indicates Not Applicable. Dark gray indicates Not Important To Me

|  |  | Strongly Agree | Agree | Somewhat Agree | Somewhat Disagree | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Not Applicable | Not Important To Me | Distribution** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STUDENT LIFE | Mean* <br> n | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1. I am satisfied with the racial/ethnic diversity of the student body in my school. | 5.09 | 32.8 | 36.2 | 7.5 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 7.7 | 9.0 |  |
|  | 1220 | 400 | 442 | 91 | 47 | 23 | 13 | 94 | 110 |  |
| 2. Students in my school are treated fairly and with respect regardless of their differences. | 5.23 | 42.2 | 39.0 | 6.9 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 1.0 |  |
|  | 1220 | 515 | 476 | 84 | 31 | 20 | 12 | 70 | 12 |  |
| 3. I feel a sense of belonging to my school. | 5.07 | 37.9 | 38.1 | 12.8 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 1.5 |  |
|  | 1220 | 462 | 465 | 156 | 34 | 26 | 20 | 39 | 18 |  |
| 4. I feel a sense of belonging to the TTUHSC community. | 4.98 | 35.5 | 36.0 | 14.3 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 2.0 |  |
|  | 1220 | 433 | 439 | 174 | 49 | 31 | 24 | 45 | 25 |  |
| 5. If I need to file a complaint against another student or TTUHSC employee, I know where to find more information about this process. | 4.42 | 21.1 | 31.3 | 15.9 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 3.8 | 9.1 | 2.0 |  |
|  | 1220 | 257 | 382 | 194 | 105 | 101 | 46 | 111 | 24 |  |
| 6. I know who represents my school and/or campus on the Student Government Association (SGA). | 4.66 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 11.8 | 4.9 | 7.7 | 3.6 | 7.9 | 5.1 |  |
|  | 1220 | 360 | 360 | 144 | 60 | 94 | 44 | 96 | 62 |  |
| 7. I am aware of the activities sponsored by the Student Government Association (SGA). | 4.65 | 27.5 | 28.6 | 15.9 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 5.1 |  |
|  | 1220 | 335 | 349 | 194 | 66 | 83 | 33 | 98 | 62 |  |
| 8. The Student Government Association (SGA) advocates for and represents student interests effectively. | 4.78 | 26.6 | 30.4 | 14.8 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 11.3 | 5.7 |  |
|  | 1220 | 324 | 371 | 181 | 64 | 47 | 25 | 138 | 70 |  |
| 9. I have sufficient opportunities to interact with students from other TTUHSC schools (i.e., Medicine, Allied Health, Nursing, Pharmacy, Biomedical Sciences). | 4.14 | 19.0 | 21.1 | 17.1 | 11.9 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 11.6 | 4.2 |  |
|  | 1220 | 232 | 258 | 209 | 145 | 113 | 70 | 142 | 51 |  |
| 10. I have sufficient opportunities to learn about, from, and with students and/or practitioners from other healthcare professions. | 4.38 | 23.4 | 27.0 | 16.8 | 10.1 | 7.6 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 2.5 |  |
|  | 1220 | 286 | 329 | 205 | 123 | 93 | 58 | 96 | 30 |  |

* Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
** Dark green indicates the highest level of satisfaction/agreement. Bright red indicates the highest level of dissatisfaction/disagreement
Light gray indicates Not Applicable. Dark gray indicates Not Important To Me .

* Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: $3.50-4.49$, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
** Dark green indicates the highest level of satisfaction/agreement. Bright red indicates the highest level of dissatisfaction/disagreement.
Light gray indicates Not Applicable. Dark gray indicates Not Important To Me.

APPENDIX B. RESULTS BY SCHOOL

|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mean* } \\ & \text { SD** }^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| OVERALL SATISFACTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall, how satiesfied are you with your studies at TTUHSC? | 35 | 4.83 | 51 | 4.88 | 98 | 5.20 | 338 | 5.22 | 183 | 4.90 | 347 | 5.29 | 216 | 4.55 |
|  |  | 0.82 |  | 0.99 |  | 0.63 |  | 0.96 |  | 0.84 |  | 0.95 |  | 1.17 |

[^0]|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean* } \\ \text { SD** }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Information about student health insurance plans | 27 | 5.04 | 46 | 4.04 | 82 | 4.39 | 176 | 4.41 | 142 | 4.31 | 154 | 4.73 | 162 | 4.40 |
|  |  | 0.81 |  | 1.44 |  | 1.26 |  | 1.17 |  | 1.17 |  | 1.36 |  | 1.18 |
| 2. Options for student health insurance coverage | 26 | 4.88 | 48 | 3.65 | 81 | 3.84 | 170 | 4.36 | 138 | 4.25 | 148 | 4.74 | 159 | 4.31 |
|  |  | 0.99 |  | 1.63 |  | 1.54 |  | 1.21 |  | 1.18 |  | 1.36 |  | 1.22 |
| 3. Information about student health care providers in the network | 25 | 4.84 | 48 | 4.10 | 79 | 4.16 | 181 | 4.29 | 149 | 4.27 | 150 | 4.69 | 164 | 4.38 |
|  |  | 0.80 |  | 1.45 |  | 1.28 |  | 1.25 |  | 1.16 |  | 1.38 |  | 1.26 |
| 4. Information about medical health senvices (e.g., visits to family practice clinic) | 26 | 4.77 | 48 | 3.88 | 85 | 4.28 | 211 | 4.33 | 163 | 4.40 | 153 | 4.66 | 180 | 4.52 |
|  |  | 0.86 |  | 1.47 |  | 1.21 |  | 1.31 |  | 1.20 |  | 1.43 |  | 1.14 |
| 5. Information about available counseling services (e.g., dealing with unfamiliar or stressful situations) | 29 | 4.41 | 44 | 3.93 | 85 | 4.51 | 195 | 4.60 | 153 | 4.75 | 172 | 4.78 | 181 | 4.59 |
|  |  | 1.24 |  | 1.35 |  | 1.16 |  | 1.12 |  | 1.07 |  | 1.27 |  | 1.17 |

[^1]|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean* } \\ \text { SD** }^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| REGISTRAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Helpfulness of employees in Registrar's office | 31 | 5.26 | 44 | 5.14 | 87 | 5.44 | 292 | 5.17 | 145 | 5.13 | 296 | 5.22 | 197 | 5.03 |
|  |  | 0.58 |  | 0.85 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.82 |  | 0.70 |  | 0.83 |  | 1.01 |
| 2. Communication about the registration process | 35 | 5.23 | 45 | 4.91 | 91 | 5.40 | 318 | 5.10 | 154 | 5.02 | 322 | 5.20 | 209 | 4.79 |
|  |  | 0.84 |  | 1.08 |  | 0.61 |  | 0.89 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.84 |  | 1.24 |
| 3. Ease of registering for classes | 35 | 5.29 | 49 | 5.20 | 93 | 5.39 | 328 | 5.40 | 155 | 5.22 | 330 | 5.35 | 213 | 4.58 |
|  |  | 0.71 |  | 0.87 |  | 0.63 |  | 0.89 |  | 0.77 |  | 0.79 |  | 1.35 |
| 4. Wait time for receiving a requested transcript | 24 | 5.25 | 29 | 5.28 | 62 | 5.47 | 197 | 5.24 | 78 | 5.22 | 212 | 5.42 | 131 | 5.10 |
|  |  | 0.90 |  | 0.84 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.94 |  | 0.75 |  | 0.75 |  | 0.96 |

[^2]|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean* } \\ \text { SD** }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD |
| FINANCIAL AID |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Helpfulness of Financial Aid employees | 31 | 5.13 | 38 | 5.16 | 86 | 5.48 | 253 | 5.21 | 155 | 5.35 | 269 | 5.33 | 194 | 5.17 |
|  |  | 0.92 |  | 1.05 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.92 |  | 0.76 |  | 0.86 |  | 0.89 |
| 2. My awareness of financial aid options | 31 | 4.97 | 40 | 5.03 | 87 | 5.33 | 273 | 4.86 | 163 | 4.91 | 291 | 5.04 | 202 | 4.91 |
|  |  | 0.91 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.74 |  | 1.12 |  | 1.01 |  | 1.05 |  | 0.99 |
| 3. Efficiency of the financial aid process | 31 | 4.84 | 37 | 5.24 | 85 | 5.34 | 258 | 4.95 | 160 | 5.06 | 274 | 5.14 | 200 | 5.08 |
|  |  | 1.10 |  | 0.83 |  | 0.70 |  | 1.11 |  | 0.88 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.87 |

* Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: $3.50-4.49$, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
** Standard deviation

Prior to the following section, each respondent was asked if his/her school offers adequate assistance with issues related to Student Affairs. Figure 5 illustrates the percent of respondents who indicated Yes in 2010-2011, 2011-2012, and 2012-2013 for all schools except the Gayle Greve Hunt School of Nursing, which has scores only for the two most recent academic years.

Figure 5. My school offers adequate assistance with issues related to Student Affairs.


|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mean** } \\ & \text { SD*** } \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| STUDENT AFFAIRS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Helpfulness of office employees in the Student Affairs office or of the Student Affairs liaison for your specific school | 32 | 5.63 | 41 | 4.85 | 97 | 5.87 | 233 | 5.28 | 173 | 5.65 | 228 | 5.27 | 206 | 5.34 |
|  |  | 0.55 |  | 1.35 |  | 0.37 |  | 0.78 |  | 0.71 |  | 0.84 |  | 0.99 |
| 2. Wait time for services and/or responses | 32 | 5.59 | 41 | 4.93 | 97 | 5.84 | 231 | 5.24 | 173 | 5.57 | 227 | 5.18 | 204 | 5.26 |
|  |  | 0.61 |  | 1.23 |  | 0.40 |  | 0.80 |  | 0.69 |  | 0.91 |  | 0.95 |
| 3. Assistance in transitioning to a regional campus* | - | - | 22 | 5.05 | 54 | 5.81 | 105 | 5.23 | 93 | 5.55 | 64 | 5.27 | 149 | 5.07 |
|  |  | - |  | 1.13 |  | 0.44 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.85 |  | 0.95 |  | 1.14 |

* Distance students from SOAHS, SON, and GGHSON did not evaluate this statement.
${ }^{* *}$ Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
*** Standard deviation

|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean* } \\ \text { SD** } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| STUDENT BUSINESS SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Helpfulness of Student Business Services employees | 30 | 5.20 | 40 | 5.30 | 71 | 5.39 | 267 | 5.13 | 140 | 5.05 | 282 | 5.24 | 186 | 5.12 |
|  |  | 1.03 |  | 0.94 |  | 0.73 |  | 0.94 |  | 0.84 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.87 |
| 2. Wait time for services and/or responses | 30 | 5.13 | 41 | 5.24 | 73 | 5.34 | 266 | 5.09 | 137 | 5.06 | 277 | 5.25 | 185 | 5.10 |
|  |  | 1.04 |  | 0.89 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.96 |  | 0.86 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.86 |
| 3. Usefulness of Student Business Services website | 30 | 5.17 | 41 | 5.15 | 73 | 5.26 | 274 | 4.96 | 132 | 4.88 | 289 | 5.18 | 184 | 5.07 |
|  |  | 0.83 |  | 0.96 |  | 0.82 |  | 1.03 |  | 0.95 |  | 0.84 |  | 0.88 |
| 4. Clarity of your online account statement | 32 | 5.22 | 46 | 4.87 | 79 | 5.25 | 305 | 4.93 | 154 | 4.81 | 314 | 5.14 | 198 | 5.08 |
|  |  | 0.75 |  | 1.24 |  | 0.81 |  | 1.09 |  | 1.07 |  | 1.02 |  | 0.88 |

[^3]|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mean** } \\ & \text { SD*** } \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| LIBRARY RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Helpfulness of librarians | 26 | 5.42 | 47 | 5.51 | 90 | 5.48 | 220 | 5.41 | 158 | 5.29 | 264 | 5.48 | 118 | 5.18 |
|  |  | 0.70 |  | 0.86 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.73 |  | 0.93 |
| 2. Hours of operation* | - | - | 46 | 4.93 | 94 | 5.10 | 192 | 5.05 | 164 | 4.14 | 106 | 5.16 | 115 | 4.88 |
|  |  | - |  | 1.12 |  | 0.98 |  | 1.07 |  | 1.52 |  | 0.91 |  | 1.11 |
| 3. Study facilities available in the library* | - | - | 48 | 5.10 | 95 | 4.91 | 192 | 5.07 | 165 | 4.49 | 99 | 5.27 | 125 | 4.56 |
|  |  | - |  | 0.99 |  | 1.16 |  | 1.05 |  | 1.32 |  | 0.84 |  | 1.39 |
| 4. Accessibility of onsite library resources (e.g. books, journals in library)* | - | - | 46 | 5.17 | 92 | 5.15 | 195 | 5.21 | 154 | 5.08 | 97 | 5.28 | 154 | 4.87 |
|  |  | - |  | 1.12 |  | 1.12 |  | 0.84 |  | 0.87 |  | 0.93 |  | 1.20 |
| 5. Accessibility of online library resources (e.g. books, journals online) | 29 | 5.24 | 49 | 5.10 | 92 | 4.86 | 306 | 5.20 | 167 | 5.03 | 323 | 5.48 | 201 | 5.22 |
|  |  | 0.79 |  | 1.03 |  | 1.38 |  | 0.87 |  | 0.94 |  | 0.75 |  | 0.87 |
| 6. Accessibility of search software (e.g. OVID, Micromedex, MD Consult) | 29 | 5.24 | 40 | 5.23 | 88 | 4.84 | 257 | 5.13 | 162 | 5.12 | 316 | 5.45 | 202 | 5.31 |
|  |  | 0.79 |  | 0.83 |  | 1.38 |  | 0.89 |  | 0.88 |  | 0.81 |  | 0.86 |

* Distance students from SOAHS, SON, and GGHSON did not evaluate this statement.
${ }^{* *}$ Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
*** Standard deviation

|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean* } \\ \text { SD** }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD |
| ADVISING/MENTORING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Academic advising in my field of study | 30 | 5.27 | 50 | 4.76 | 91 | 4.92 | 304 | 5.28 | 149 | 4.79 | 282 | 5.23 | 165 | 4.87 |
|  |  | 0.83 |  | 1.49 |  | 1.10 |  | 0.91 |  | 1.15 |  | 0.97 |  | 1.10 |
| 2. Academic advisor's knowledge about my degree program | 30 | 5.53 | 49 | 5.16 | 89 | 4.97 | 304 | 5.42 | 144 | 5.04 | 280 | 5.35 | 160 | 5.07 |
|  |  | 0.78 |  | 1.14 |  | 1.04 |  | 0.86 |  | 0.96 |  | 0.82 |  | 1.01 |
| 3. Faculty/staff knowledge of career opportunities in my field of study | 30 | 5.43 | 50 | 4.32 | 93 | 5.05 | 306 | 5.38 | 153 | 4.93 | 292 | 5.35 | 195 | 5.07 |
|  |  | 0.82 |  | 1.71 |  | 0.96 |  | 0.88 |  | 1.06 |  | 0.82 |  | 0.94 |

* Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: $3.50-4.49$, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
** Standard deviation

|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean** } \\ \text { SD** } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| GENERAL TECHNOLOGY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Audio-video equipment used in classrooms (e.g. microphones, projectors)* | - | - | 50 | 5.08 | 96 | 4.78 | 224 | 4.99 | 169 | 4.60 | 104 | 5.42 | 208 | 4.40 |
|  |  | - |  | 0.92 |  | 1.24 |  | 1.12 |  | 1.20 |  | 0.68 |  | 1.17 |
| 2. Reliability of wireless connectivity on my campus* | - | - | 50 | 4.24 | 97 | 3.86 | 225 | 4.31 | 171 | 3.68 | 105 | 4.67 | 206 | 3.73 |
|  |  | - |  | 1.68 |  | 1.58 |  | 1.39 |  | 1.53 |  | 1.48 |  | 1.57 |
| 3. Reliability of Learning Management System (e.g., Sakai/The Hub) | 26 | 5.27 | 45 | 4.60 | 66 | 4.50 | 323 | 4.95 | 167 | 4.44 | 320 | 5.10 | 208 | 4.22 |
|  |  | 0.53 |  | 1.37 |  | 1.45 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.10 |  | 1.02 |  | 1.31 |
| 4. Helpfulness of Help Desk employees | 30 | 5.37 | 42 | 5.12 | 88 | 4.42 | 259 | 5.25 | 139 | 4.87 | 287 | 5.41 | 174 | 4.47 |
|  |  | 0.56 |  | 0.94 |  | 1.51 |  | 0.77 |  | 0.95 |  | 0.71 |  | 1.38 |
| 5. Usability of my school's website | 35 | 5.20 | 49 | 4.69 | 96 | 4.10 | 327 | 5.03 | 170 | 4.52 | 328 | 5.26 | 208 | 4.79 |
|  |  | 0.72 |  | 1.29 |  | 1.51 |  | 1.02 |  | 1.16 |  | 0.88 |  | 1.04 |

* Distance students from SOAHS, SON, and GGHSON did not evaluate this statement.
${ }^{* *}$ Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
*** Standard deviation

|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean** } \\ \text { SD*** }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| ENVIRONMENT* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Cleanliness of campus buildings | 35 | 5.49 | 51 | 5.47 | 98 | 5.76 | 228 | 5.55 | 181 | 5.54 | 108 | 5.82 | 214 | 5.51 |
|  |  | 0.70 |  | 0.64 |  | 0.46 |  | 0.71 |  | 0.59 |  | 0.41 |  | 0.76 |
| 2. Classroom environment (e.g. size, temperature, maintenance) | 35 | 4.43 | 50 | 5.20 | 98 | 5.13 | 227 | 5.20 | 182 | 5.19 | 101 | 5.50 | 214 | 5.07 |
|  |  | 1.20 |  | 0.97 |  | 0.89 |  | 0.88 |  | 0.80 |  | 0.72 |  | 0.90 |
| 3. Quality of equipment in laboratory facilities | 35 | 4.66 | 50 | 5.18 | 95 | 5.41 | 216 | 5.17 | 164 | 5.00 | 99 | 5.41 | 202 | 5.16 |
|  |  | 0.97 |  | 0.87 |  | 0.78 |  | 0.93 |  | 0.81 |  | 1.02 |  | 0.89 |
| 4. Campus security | 35 | 5.51 | 50 | 5.20 | 97 | 5.41 | 225 | 5.14 | 179 | 5.23 | 100 | 5.34 | 209 | 5.20 |
|  |  | 0.56 |  | 0.86 |  | 0.83 |  | 1.02 |  | 0.69 |  | 0.99 |  | 0.88 |
| 5. Parking availability | 35 | 4.71 | 50 | 4.86 |  | 3.48 | 223 | 4.99 | 181 | 4.34 | 105 | 5.07 | 213 | 4.29 |
|  |  | 1.05 |  | 1.03 |  | 1.71 |  | 1.01 |  | 1.28 |  | 0.98 |  | 1.47 |

* Distance students from SOAHS and SON did not evaluate this section.
${ }^{* *}$ Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
*** Standard deviation

|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean* } \\ \text { SD** }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| STUDENT LIFE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. I am satisfied with the racial/ethnic diversity of the student body in my school. | 34 | 5.38 | 49 | 4.69 | 93 | 4.59 | 254 | 5.21 | 160 | 4.85 | 237 | 5.41 | 189 | 5.04 |
|  |  | 0.60 |  | 1.46 |  | 1.36 |  | 0.98 |  | 1.08 |  | 0.78 |  | 0.92 |
| 2. Students in my school are treated fairly and with respect regardless of their differences. | 35 | 5.11 | 50 | 4.86 | 97 | 5.24 | 302 | 5.26 | 170 | 5.19 | 278 | 5.42 | 206 | 5.06 |
|  |  | 0.96 |  | 1.32 |  | 0.90 |  | 1.01 |  | 0.85 |  | 0.75 |  | 1.06 |
| 3. I feel a sense of belonging to my school. | 35 | 5.40 | 50 | 4.84 | 97 | 5.21 | 307 | 5.12 | 170 | 5.15 | 298 | 5.12 | 206 | 4.79 |
|  |  | 0.65 |  | 1.38 |  | 0.80 |  | 1.03 |  | 0.94 |  | 1.01 |  | 1.27 |
| 4. I feel a sense of belonging to the TTUHSC community. | 35 | 5.37 | 50 | 4.80 | 95 | 5.00 | 300 | 5.03 | 171 | 5.12 | 293 | 5.02 | 206 | 4.67 |
|  |  | 0.84 |  | 1.31 |  | 0.95 |  | 1.12 |  | 0.95 |  | 1.11 |  | 1.32 |
| 5. If I need to file a complaint against another student or TTUHSC employee, I know where to find more information about this process. | 34 | 4.71 | 45 | 4.20 | 92 | 4.86 | 282 | 4.27 | 160 | 4.23 | 273 | 4.46 | 199 | 4.51 |
|  |  | 1.17 |  | 1.66 |  | 1.12 |  | 1.48 |  | 1.36 |  | 1.46 |  | 1.30 |
| 6. I know who represents my school and/or campus on the Student Government Association (SGA). | 31 | 4.71 | 48 | 4.65 | 96 | 5.19 | 256 | 4.54 | 167 | 4.96 | 263 | 4.33 | 201 | 4.74 |
|  |  | 1.40 |  | 1.58 |  | 0.91 |  | 1.51 |  | 0.98 |  | 1.69 |  | 1.32 |
| 7. I am aware of the activities sponsored by the Student Government Association (SGA). | 31 | 4.68 | 48 | 4.54 | 96 | 5.08 | 260 | 4.62 | 167 | 4.73 | 256 | 4.54 | 202 | 4.57 |
|  |  | 1.28 |  | 1.65 |  | 1.01 |  | 1.38 |  | 1.07 |  | 1.55 |  | 1.31 |
| 8. The Student Government Association (SGA) advocates for and represents student interests effectively. | 30 | 4.80 | 47 | 4.57 | 93 | 4.85 | 238 | 4.78 | 166 | 4.86 | 240 | 4.78 | 198 | 4.72 |
|  |  | 1.13 |  | 1.49 |  | 1.19 |  | 1.22 |  | 0.94 |  | 1.41 |  | 1.21 |

[^4]** Standard deviation

|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean* } \\ \text { SD** } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| STUDENT LIFE (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. I have sufficient opportunities to interact with students from other TTUHSC schools (i.e., Medicine, Allied Health, Nursing, Pharmacy, Biomedical Sciences). | 34 | 4.32 | 49 | 3.71 | 91 | 4.21 | 249 | 4.13 | 164 | 3.96 | 241 | 4.44 | 199 | 3.96 |
|  |  | 1.34 |  | 1.74 |  | 1.43 |  | 1.53 |  | 1.45 |  | 1.58 |  | 1.48 |
| 10. I have succient opportunities to learn about, from, and with students and/or practitioners from other healthcare professions. | 35 | 4.29 | 49 | 3.98 | 93 | 4.69 | 271 | 4.37 | 164 | 4.12 | 276 | 4.69 | 206 | 4.17 |
|  |  | 1.27 |  | 1.57 |  | 1.38 |  | 1.49 |  | 1.44 |  | 1.40 |  | 1.46 |
| 11. I know where to go to file a complaint against another student or TTUHSC employee. | 35 | 4.46 | 49 | 4.12 | 94 | 4.83 | 299 | 4.04 | 165 | 4.01 | 292 | 4.25 | 200 | 4.32 |
|  |  | 1.29 |  | 1.60 |  | 1.13 |  | 1.50 |  | 1.39 |  | 1.57 |  | 1.42 |
| 12. I believe that any complaints I file against another student or TTUHSC employee will be handled fairly and promptly. | 35 | 4.94 | 47 | 4.77 | 94 | 4.91 | 286 | 4.78 | 159 | 4.77 | 284 | 5.02 | 199 | 4.57 |
|  |  | 0.97 |  | 1.22 |  | 1.12 |  | 1.15 |  | 1.06 |  | 1.05 |  | 1.32 |
| 13. I believe that I could report unethical activities by another student or TTUHSC employee without fear of retaliation against me. | 35 | 4.89 | 49 | 4.73 | 96 | 4.90 | 303 | 4.77 | 167 | 4.60 | 301 | 5.04 | 205 | 4.69 |
|  |  | 0.96 |  | 1.24 |  | 1.15 |  | 1.19 |  | 1.18 |  | 1.06 |  | 1.20 |

* Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
** Standard deviation

|  | GGHSON |  | GSBS |  | PLFSOM |  | SOAHS |  | SOM |  | SON |  | SOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean* } \\ \text { SD** } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| STUDENT LIFE (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. I know about available counseling services if I need to talk to someone about dealing with unfamiliar or stressful situations in my life. | 34 | 4.35 | 49 | 4.49 | 95 | 4.95 | 278 | 4.50 | 168 | 4.81 | 291 | 4.70 | 200 | 4.86 |
|  |  | 1.35 |  | 1.34 |  | 1.02 |  | 1.34 |  | 1.04 |  | 1.40 |  | 1.08 |
| 15. I am satisfied with the quality of instruction that I receive at TTUHSC. | 35 | 4.74 | 48 | 5.06 | 97 | 5.04 | 324 | 5.15 | 172 | 4.67 | 322 | 5.17 | 209 | 4.67 |
|  |  | 1.09 |  | 0.98 |  | 0.89 |  | 1.02 |  | 1.06 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.13 |
| 16. I have adequate access to my instructors outside of class. | 35 | 5.29 | 49 | 5.45 | 97 | 5.38 | 317 | 5.35 | 168 | 4.99 | 314 | 5.24 | 207 | 4.90 |
|  |  | 0.71 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.74 |  | 0.94 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.98 |  | 1.09 |
| 17. My instructors are concerned about my academic success. | 35 | 5.29 | 49 | 5.35 | 97 | 5.39 | 323 | 5.38 | 170 | 4.90 | 323 | 5.26 | 208 | 4.79 |
|  |  | 0.83 |  | 0.83 |  | 0.72 |  | 0.98 |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.19 |
| 18. My instructors care about my professional success. | 35 | 5.20 | 49 | 4.84 | 97 | 5.40 | 323 | 5.41 | 171 | 4.89 | 321 | 5.28 | 208 | 4.80 |
|  |  | 0.93 |  | 1.33 |  | 0.72 |  | 0.93 |  | 1.02 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.19 |
| 19. I would recommend my degree program to a friend or family member. | 35 | 5.29 | 50 | 4.82 | 97 | 5.28 | 323 | 5.36 | 170 | 5.05 | 324 | 5.32 | 209 | 4.53 |
|  |  | 0.83 |  | 1.40 |  | 0.99 |  | 0.99 |  | 0.96 |  | 1.04 |  | 1.45 |

[^5]
## APPENDIX C. RESULTS BY CAMPUS

|  | ABILENE |  | AMARILLO |  | DALLAS/FT. WORTH |  | EL PASO |  | LUBBOCK |  | MIDLAND |  | ODESSA |  | DISTANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean* } \\ \text { SD** }^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| OVERALL SATISFACTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall, how satisfied are you with your studies at TTUHSC? | 84 | 4.54 | 172 | 4.65 | 27 | 4.85 | 102 | 5.22 | 473 | 5.15 | 27 | 4.89 | 28 | 4.71 | 355 | 5.25 |
|  |  | 1.29 |  | 1.06 |  | 0.82 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.91 |  | 1.05 |  | 1.12 |  | 0.98 |

* Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: $3.50-4.49$, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
** Standard deviation

|  | ABILENE |  | AMARILLO |  | DALLAS/FT. WORTH |  | EL PASO |  | LUBBOCK |  | MIDLAND |  | ODESSA |  | DISTANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean* } \\ \text { SD** }^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD** }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD** | n | Mean SD** | n | Mean SD** | n | Mean SD** | n | Mean SD** | n | Mean SD** |
| STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Information about student health insurance plans | 61 | 4.43 | 130 | 4.44 | 23 | 4.13 | 86 | 4.42 | 328 | 4.36 | 21 | 4.00 | 20 | 4.60 | 120 | 4.88 |
|  |  | 1.07 |  | 1.23 |  | 1.25 |  | 1.27 |  | 1.29 |  | 1.30 |  | 0.88 |  | 1.15 |
| 2. Options for student health insurance coverage | 59 | 4.44 | 131 | 4.21 | 22 | 4.18 | 85 | 3.89 | 318 | 4.30 | 21 | 3.86 | 20 | 4.45 | 114 | 4.86 |
|  |  | 1.09 |  | 1.32 |  | 1.37 |  | 1.55 |  | 1.33 |  | 1.39 |  | 0.89 |  | 1.17 |
| 3. Information about student health care providers in the network | 60 | 4.42 | 134 | 4.37 | 24 | 4.50 | 83 | 4.20 | 340 | 4.31 | 22 | 3.77 | 20 | 4.45 | 113 | 4.76 |
|  |  | 1.12 |  | 1.31 |  | 1.22 |  | 1.29 |  | 1.29 |  | 1.27 |  | 0.89 |  | 1.23 |
| 4. Information about medical health services (e.g., visits to family practice clinic) | 64 | 4.56 | 147 | 4.48 | 26 | 4.73 | 89 | 4.31 | 384 | 4.34 | 23 | 3.65 | 21 | 4.29 | 112 | 4.76 |
|  |  | 1.11 |  | 1.25 |  | 1.04 |  | 1.22 |  | 1.33 |  | 1.34 |  | 0.96 |  | 1.25 |
| 5. Information about available counseling services (e.g., dealing with unfamiliar or stressful situations) | 68 | 4.78 | 146 | 4.52 | 25 | 4.76 | 88 | 4.52 | 355 | 4.59 | 19 | 4.11 | 19 | 4.58 | 139 | 4.77 |
|  |  | 0.93 |  | 1.28 |  | 0.88 |  | 1.17 |  | 1.21 |  | 1.24 |  | 0.90 |  | 1.21 |

[^6]|  | ABILENE |  | AMARILLO |  | DALLASIFT. WORTH |  | EL PASO |  | LUBBOCK |  | MIDLAND |  | ODESSA |  | DISTANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean* } \\ & \text { SD** } \end{aligned}$ | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD |
| REGISTRAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Helpfulness of employees in Registrar's office | 74 | 4.91 | 149 | 5.15 | 26 | 4.85 | 91 | 5.44 | 415 | 5.20 | 25 | 4.88 | 22 | 4.95 | 290 | 5.20 |
|  |  | 1.06 |  | 0.98 |  | 0.83 |  | 0.64 |  | 0.76 |  | 0.83 |  | 0.72 |  | 0.81 |
| 2. Communication about the registration process | 78 | 4.72 | 160 | 5.01 | 27 | 4.56 | 95 | 5.39 | 433 | 5.07 | 27 | 5.15 | 24 | 4.96 | 330 | 5.18 |
|  |  | 1.22 |  | 1.09 |  | 1.42 |  | 0.66 |  | 0.89 |  | 0.91 |  | 0.81 |  | 0.85 |
| 3. Ease of registering for classes | 80 | 4.66 | 162 | 5.03 | 27 | 3.78 | 97 | 5.39 | 446 | 5.31 | 27 | 5.59 | 25 | 5.32 | 339 | 5.31 |
|  |  | 1.37 |  | 1.11 |  | 1.53 |  | 0.64 |  | 0.86 |  | 0.57 |  | 0.69 |  | 0.85 |
| 4. Wait time for receiving a requested transcript | 55 | 5.13 | 109 | 5.17 | 14 | 4.93 | 65 | 5.49 | 266 | 5.32 | 14 | 5.21 | 18 | 5.33 | 192 | 5.31 |
|  |  | 0.94 |  | 0.90 |  | 1.14 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.81 |  | 0.70 |  | 0.49 |  | 0.91 |

[^7]|  | ABILENE |  | AMARILLO |  | DALLASIFT. WORTH |  | EL PASO |  | LUBBOCK |  | MIDLAND |  | ODESSA |  | DISTANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean** } \\ \text { SD*** } \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD |
| FINANCIAL AID |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Helpfulness of Financial Aid employees | 76 | 5.26 | 145 | 5.11 | 25 | 5.24 | 90 | 5.49 | 393 | 5.26 | 23 | 5.43 | 26 | 5.23 | 248 | 5.31 |
|  |  | 0.85 |  | 0.99 |  | 0.66 |  | 0.67 |  | 0.89 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.51 |  | 0.85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. My awareness of financial aid options | 78 | 4.90 | 151 | 4.86 | 26 | 5.00 | 91 | 5.35 | 412 | 4.90 | 24 | 5.13 | 26 | 5.12 | 279 | 5.01 |
|  |  | 1.10 |  | 1.07 |  | 0.75 |  | 0.74 |  | 1.09 |  | 0.95 |  | 0.65 |  | 1.02 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Efficiency of the financial aid process | 79 | 5.05 | 148 | 4.96 | 26 | 5.23 | 89 | 5.36 | 398 | 5.03 | 23 | 5.09 | 26 | 5.15 | 256 | 5.12 |
|  |  | 0.93 |  | 1.09 |  | 0.65 |  | 0.69 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.85 |  | 0.61 |  | 0.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ABILENE |  | AMARILLO |  | DALLASIFT. WORTH |  | EL PASO |  | LUBBOCK |  | MIDLAND |  | ODESSA |  | DISTANCE |  |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean** } \\ \text { SD*** }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD |
| STUDENT AFFAIRS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Helpfulness of office employees in the Student Affairs office or of the Student Affairs liaison for your specific school | 79 | 5.47 | 158 | 5.29 | 24 | 5.08 | 101 | 5.85 | 399 | 5.41 | 17 | 4.88 | 19 | 4.68 | 213 | 5.38 |
|  |  | 0.75 |  | 1.14 |  | 0.83 |  | 0.41 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.93 |  | 1.34 |  | 0.77 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Wait time for services and/or responses | 78 | 5.26 | 159 | 5.22 | 23 | 5.17 | 101 | 5.82 | 397 | 5.34 | 17 | 5.06 | 19 | 4.84 | 211 | 5.33 |
|  |  | 0.90 |  | 1.10 |  | 0.65 |  | 0.43 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.75 |  | 1.07 |  | 0.83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Assistance in transitioning to a regional campus* | 45 | 5.38 | 123 | 5.07 | 24 | 5.04 | 58 | 5.79 | 204 | 5.41 | 16 | 4.75 | 17 | 4.71 | - | - |
|  |  | 0.68 |  | 1.29 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.49 |  | 0.78 |  | 0.93 |  | 1.31 |  | - |

* Distance students from SOAHS, SON, and GGHSON did not evaluate this statement.
** Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
*** Standard deviation

|  | ABILENE |  | AMARILLO |  | DALLAS/FT. WORTH |  | EL PASO |  | LUBBOCK |  | MIDLAND |  | ODESSA |  | DISTANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | Mean* SD** | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| STUDENT BUSINESS SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Helpfulness of Student Business Services employees | 69 | 5.10 | 142 | 5.11 | 22 | 5.18 | 74 | 5.38 | 398 | 5.15 | 14 | 4.86 | 22 | 5.27 | 275 | 5.22 |
|  |  | 0.91 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.66 |  | 0.77 |  | 0.87 |  | 1.29 |  | 0.55 |  | 0.87 |
| 2. Wait time for services and/or responses | 69 | 5.12 | 141 | 5.05 | 23 | 5.04 | 76 | 5.33 | 389 | 5.15 | 15 | 4.73 | 22 | 5.23 | 274 | 5.20 |
|  |  | 0.85 |  | 0.95 |  | 0.71 |  | 0.82 |  | 0.84 |  | 1.22 |  | 0.61 |  | 0.89 |
| 3. Usefulness of Student Business Services website | 70 | 4.96 | 136 | 5.01 | 22 | 5.05 | 76 | 5.25 | 396 | 5.04 | 17 | 4.76 | 21 | 5.14 | 285 | 5.12 |
|  |  | 0.98 |  | 0.93 |  | 0.72 |  | 0.85 |  | 0.95 |  | 1.30 |  | 0.65 |  | 0.89 |
| 4. Clarity of your online account statement | 77 | 5.01 | 150 | 5.00 | 25 | 5.16 | 83 | 5.25 | 430 | 4.96 | 20 | 4.60 | 24 | 5.04 | 319 | 5.09 |
|  |  | 0.95 |  | 0.99 |  | 0.69 |  | 0.84 |  | 1.06 |  | 1.39 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.03 |

[^8]|  | ABILENE |  | AMARILLO |  | DALLAS/FT. WORTH |  | EL PASO |  | LUBBOCK |  | MIDLAND |  | ODESSA |  | DISTANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean** } \\ \text { SD }^{* * *} \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD |
| LIBRARY RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Helpfulness of librarians | 36 | 4.97 | 121 | 5.28 | 12 | 5.33 | 94 | 5.49 | 413 | 5.38 | 10 | 5.00 | 27 | 5.56 | 210 | 5.52 |
|  |  | 1.28 |  | 0.74 |  | 0.49 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.77 |  | 0.82 |  | 0.51 |  | 0.70 |
| 2. Hours of operation* | 30 | 5.10 | 125 | 4.67 | 10 | 5.30 | 98 | 5.12 | 419 | 4.81 | 8 | 4.63 | 27 | 4.41 | - | - |
|  |  | 1.21 |  | 1.24 |  | 0.48 |  | 0.98 |  | 1.28 |  | 1.41 |  | 1.01 |  |  |
| 3. Study facilities available in the library* | 43 | 3.93 | 122 | 4.55 | 10 | 5.10 | 99 | 4.94 | 413 | 5.03 | 10 | 4.40 | 27 | 4.85 |  |  |
|  |  | 1.75 |  | 1.27 |  | 0.99 |  | 1.15 |  | 1.08 |  | 1.43 |  | 0.86 |  |  |
| 4. Accessibility of onsite library resources (e.g. books, journals in library)* | 60 | 4.40 | 132 | 4.98 | 12 | 5.08 | 96 | 5.18 | 399 | 5.24 | 14 | 5.00 | 25 | 5.28 |  | - |
|  |  | 1.43 |  | 1.06 |  | 0.79 |  | 1.10 |  | 0.85 |  | 0.88 |  | 0.74 |  |  |
| 5. Accessibility of online library resources (e.g. books, journals online) | 79 | 5.09 | 155 | 5.17 | 25 | 5.28 | 96 | 4.90 | 450 | 5.22 | 21 | 4.76 | 27 | 5.22 | 314 | 5.41 |
|  |  | 0.98 |  | 0.89 |  | 0.74 |  | 1.36 |  | 0.86 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.70 |  | 0.82 |
| 5. Accessibility of search software (e.g. OVID, Micromedex, MD Consult) | 79 | 5.18 | 153 | 5.26 | 25 | 5.28 | 91 | 4.87 | 411 | 5.27 | 18 | 4.61 | 26 | 5.23 | 291 | 5.35 |
|  |  | 0.96 |  | 0.83 |  | 0.79 |  | 1.37 |  | 0.83 |  | 1.04 |  | 0.71 |  | 0.88 |

[^9]|  | ABILENE |  | AMARILLO |  | DALLAS/FT. WORTH |  | EL PASO |  | LUBBOCK |  | MIDLAND |  | ODESSA |  | DISTANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean* } \\ & \text { SD }^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| ADVISING/MENTORING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Academic advising in my field of study | 62 | 4.92 | 144 | 4.78 | 17 | 5.00 | 95 | 4.95 | 414 | 5.12 | 24 | 5.17 | 25 | 4.72 | 290 | 5.28 |
|  |  | 1.22 |  | 1.21 |  | 0.79 |  | 1.09 |  | 1.05 |  | 1.01 |  | 1.28 |  | 0.90 |
| 2. Academic advisor's knowledge about my degree program | 59 | 5.14 | 141 | 5.02 | 17 | 5.24 | 93 | 4.99 | 408 | 5.32 | 24 | 5.46 | 25 | 4.88 | 289 | 5.38 |
|  |  | 1.11 |  | 1.06 |  | 0.75 |  | 1.03 |  | 0.87 |  | 0.72 |  | 1.13 |  | 0.85 |
| 3. Faculty/staff knowledge of career opportunities in my field of study | 77 | 5.21 | 155 | 4.84 | 23 | 5.04 | 97 | 5.05 | 429 | 5.22 | 23 | 5.43 | 26 | 4.77 | 289 | 5.38 |
|  |  | 0.86 |  | 1.22 |  | 0.71 |  | 0.95 |  | 0.98 |  | 0.99 |  | 1.27 |  | 0.83 |

* Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
** Standard deviation

* Distance students from SOAHS, SON, and GGHSON did not evaluate this statement.
${ }^{* *}$ Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: $3.50-4.49$, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
*** Standard deviation

|  | ABILENE |  | AMARILLO |  | DALLAS/FT. WORTH |  | EL PASO |  | LUBBOCK |  | MIDLAND |  | ODESSA |  | DISTANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean** } \\ \text { SD*** } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD |
| ENVIRONMENT* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Cleanliness of campus buildings | 82 | 5.73 | 171 | 5.49 | 27 | 5.59 | 102 | 5.75 | 444 | 5.58 | 27 | 5.67 | 27 | 5.26 | 35 | 5.49 |
|  |  | 0.50 |  | 0.69 |  | 0.50 |  | 0.45 |  | 0.69 |  | 0.55 |  | 0.76 |  | 0.70 |
| 2. Classroom environment (e.g. size, temperature, maintenance) | 82 | 5.24 | 172 | 5.06 | 27 | 4.93 | 102 | 5.13 | 435 | 5.27 | 27 | 5.52 | 27 | 4.85 | 35 | 4.43 |
|  |  | 0.78 |  | 0.98 |  | 0.92 |  | 0.89 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.58 |  | 1.20 |  | 1.20 |
| 3. Quality of equipment in laboratory facilities | 82 | 5.30 | 165 | 5.01 | 22 | 5.27 | 99 | 5.42 | 407 | 5.20 | 27 | 5.41 | 24 | 4.63 | 35 | 4.66 |
|  |  | 0.76 |  | 1.06 |  | 0.55 |  | 0.77 |  | 0.86 |  | 0.75 |  | 1.24 |  | 0.97 |
| 4. Campus security | 80 | 5.23 | 168 | 5.17 | 27 | 4.63 | 101 | 5.42 | 431 | 5.28 | 26 | 5.08 | 27 | 4.96 | 35 | 5.51 |
|  |  | 1.07 |  | 0.94 |  | 0.84 |  | 0.82 |  | 0.81 |  | 1.26 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.56 |
| 5. Parking availability | 81 | 4.10 | 172 | 4.72 | 27 | 5.30 | 102 | 3.55 | 434 | 4.60 | 27 | 5.74 | 27 | 4.74 | 35 | 4.71 |
|  |  | 1.77 |  | 1.26 |  | 0.61 |  | 1.72 |  | 1.16 |  | 0.45 |  | 0.86 |  | 1.05 |

* Distance students from SOAHS and SON did not evaluate this section.
** Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
*** Standard deviation

|  | ABILENE |  | AMARILLO |  | DALLASIFT. WORTH |  | EL PASO |  | LUBBOCK |  | MIDLAND |  | ODESSA |  | DISTANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mean* } \\ & \text { SD** } \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| STUDENT LIFE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. I am satisfied with the racial/ethnic diversity of the student body in my school. | 73 | 5.08 | 149 | 4.92 | 26 | 5.15 | 96 | 4.59 | 403 | 5.10 | 22 | 5.23 | 25 | 4.76 | 222 | 5.42 |
|  |  | 0.94 |  | 1.09 |  | 0.73 |  | 1.38 |  | 1.02 |  | 0.69 |  | 1.33 |  | 0.76 |
| 2. Students in my school are treated fairly and with respect regardless of their differences. | 80 | 4.86 | 162 | 4.97 | 26 | 5.27 | 101 | 5.22 | 435 | 5.28 | 24 | 5.00 | 25 | 5.12 | 285 | 5.43 |
|  |  | 1.29 |  | 1.10 |  | 0.53 |  | 0.94 |  | 0.90 |  | 1.22 |  | 0.78 |  | 0.78 |
| 3. I feel a sense of belonging to my school. | 80 | 4.69 | 160 | 4.83 | 26 | 5.15 | 101 | 5.18 | 442 | 5.19 | 24 | 4.92 | 26 | 5.04 | 304 | 5.08 |
|  |  | 1.39 |  | 1.14 |  | 0.67 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.99 |  | 1.10 |  | 0.77 |  | 1.06 |
| 4. I feel a sense of belonging to the TTUHSC community. | 80 | 4.38 | 160 | 4.84 | 26 | 5.12 | 99 | 4.96 | 442 | 5.14 | 22 | 4.50 | 26 | 4.92 | 295 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1.59 |  | 1.10 |  | 0.71 |  | 1.04 |  | 1.03 |  | 1.37 |  | 0.84 |  | 1.13 |
| 5. If I need to file a complaint against another student or TTUHSC employee, I know where to find more information about this process. | 80 | 4.51 | 151 | 4.44 | 24 | 4.50 | 96 | 4.84 | 409 | 4.19 | 23 | 3.65 | 25 | 4.48 | 277 | 4.61 |
|  |  | 1.41 |  | 1.31 |  | 1.29 |  | 1.17 |  | 1.51 |  | 1.43 |  | 1.26 |  | 1.32 |
| 6. I know who represents my school and/or campus on the Student Government Association (SGA). | 77 | 4.71 | 159 | 4.69 | 25 | 4.40 | 98 | 5.17 | 429 | 4.90 | 23 | 4.22 | 24 | 4.63 | 227 | 4.02 |
|  |  | 1.30 |  | 1.37 |  | 1.44 |  | 0.93 |  | 1.25 |  | 1.57 |  | 1.41 |  | 1.75 |
| 7. I am aware of the activities sponsored by the Student Government Association (SGA). | 78 | 4.47 | 159 | 4.62 | 25 | 4.32 | 98 | 5.05 | 429 | 4.78 | 22 | 4.27 | 22 | 4.68 | 227 | 4.38 |
|  |  | 1.40 |  | 1.32 |  | 1.31 |  | 1.09 |  | 1.26 |  | 1.35 |  | 1.32 |  | 1.57 |
| 8. The Student Government Association (SGA) advocates for and represents student interests effectively. | 74 | 4.65 | 158 | 4.64 | 25 | 4.60 | 95 | 4.82 | 415 | 4.93 | 21 | 4.33 | 22 | 4.68 | 202 | 4.67 |
|  |  | 1.37 |  | 1.22 |  | 1.12 |  | 1.25 |  | 1.10 |  | 1.43 |  | 1.25 |  | 1.40 |

[^10]|  | ABILENE |  | AMARILLO |  | DALLAS/FT. WORTH |  | EL PASO |  | LUBBOCK |  | MIDLAND |  | ODESSA |  | DISTANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean* } \\ \text { SD** } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| STUDENT LIFE (cont.) |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\square}{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{22}{\uparrow}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. I have sufficient opportunities to interact with students from other TTUHSC schools (i.e., Medicine, Allied Health, Nursing, Pharmacy, Biomedical Sciences). | 74 | 3.93 | 159 | 4.15 |  | 3.38 | 94 | 4.19 | 418 | 4.19 |  | 3.36 | 26 | 3.85 | 208 | 4.27 |
|  |  | 1.46 |  | 1.37 |  | 1.72 |  | 1.46 |  | 1.51 |  | 1.53 |  | 1.67 |  | 1.64 |
| 10. I have sufficient opportunities to learn about, from, and with students and/or practitioners from other healthcare professions. | 79 | 4.16 | 161 | 4.23 | 26 | 4.04 | 97 | 4.69 | 427 | 4.35 | 21 | 4.14 | 26 | 3.96 | 257 | 4.58 |
|  |  | 1.49 |  | 1.37 |  | 1.61 |  | 1.36 |  | 1.46 |  | 1.49 |  | 1.66 |  | 1.48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. I know where to go to file a complaint against another student or TTUHSC employee. | 79 | 4.47 | 158 | 4.22 | 23 | 3.83 | 98 | 4.85 | 426 | 4.02 | $24$ | 3.13 | 26 | 4.08 | 300 | 4.37 |
|  |  | 1.39 |  | 1.42 |  | 1.59 |  | 1.12 |  | 1.52 |  | 1.45 |  | 1.32 |  | 1.46 |
| 12. I believe that any complaints I file against another student or TTUHSC employee will be handled fairly and promptly. | 78 | 4.44 | 153 | 4.54 | 26 | 4.88 | 98 | 4.90 | 415 | 4.86 | 21 | 4.24 | 23 | 4.43 | 290 | 5.06 |
|  |  | 1.56 |  | 1.29 |  | 0.91 |  | 1.18 |  | 1.05 |  | 1.30 |  | 1.20 |  | 0.98 |
| 13. I believe that I could report unethical activities by another student or TTUHSC employee without fear of retaliation against me. | 79 | 4.48 | 160 | 4.62 | 26 | 5.08 | 100 | 4.92 | 434 | 4.81 | 22 | 4.36 | 26 | 4.19 | 309 | 5.04 |
|  |  | 1.53 |  | 1.23 |  | 0.69 |  | 1.13 |  | 1.11 |  | 1.18 |  | 1.41 |  | 1.02 |

[^11]|  | ABILENE |  | AMARILLO |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DALLAS/FT. } \\ \text { WORTH } \end{gathered}$ |  | EL PASO |  | LUBBOCK |  | MIDLAND |  | ODESSA |  | DISTANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | Mean* SD** | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD |
| STUDENT LIFE (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. I know about available counseling services if I need to talk to someone about dealing with unfamiliar or stressful situations in my life. | 78 | 4.95 | 157 | 4.80 | 24 | 4.96 | 99 | 4.96 | 430 | 4.66 | 23 | 4.13 | 25 | 4.24 | 279 | 4.61 |
|  |  | 1.09 |  | 1.10 |  | 0.75 |  | 1.02 |  | 1.30 |  | 1.18 |  | 1.36 |  | 1.37 |
| 15. I am satisfied with the quality of instruction that I receive at TTUHSC. | 79 | 4.59 | 163 | 4.70 | 26 | 4.88 | 101 | 5.07 | 450 | 5.00 | 24 | 4.71 | 27 | 4.89 | 337 | 5.18 |
|  |  | 1.28 |  | 1.07 |  | 0.86 |  | 0.89 |  | 1.05 |  | 1.20 |  | 0.80 |  | 1.00 |
| 16. I have adequate access to my instructors outside of class. | 79 | 4.86 | 161 | 5.01 | 26 | 5.04 | 101 | 5.39 | 445 | 5.27 | 24 | 5.13 | 27 | 5.04 | 324 | 5.23 |
|  |  | 1.30 |  | 0.95 |  | 0.82 |  | 0.73 |  | 0.89 |  | 1.19 |  | 0.59 |  | 0.97 |
| 17. My instructors are concerned about my academic success. | 79 | 4.78 | 162 | 4.91 | 26 | 4.85 | 101 | 5.41 | 450 | 5.23 | 24 | 5.08 | 27 | 5.07 | 336 | 5.29 |
|  |  | 1.33 |  | 1.08 |  | 0.83 |  | 0.71 |  | 1.02 |  | 1.28 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.95 |
| 18. My instructors care about my professional success. | 79 | 4.85 | 162 | 4.81 | 26 | 5.00 | 101 | 5.41 | 451 | 5.21 | 24 | 5.17 | 27 | 5.07 | 334 | 5.30 |
|  |  | 1.22 |  | 1.21 |  | 0.75 |  | 0.71 |  | 1.05 |  | 1.31 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.96 |
| 19. I would recommend my degree program to a friend or family member. | 79 | 4.35 | 162 | 4.65 | 26 | 5.04 | 101 | 5.30 | 452 | 5.25 | 24 | 5.00 | 27 | 4.93 | 337 | 5.37 |
|  |  | 1.59 |  | 1.34 |  | 1.04 |  | 0.98 |  | 1.04 |  | 1.06 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |

* Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
** Standard deviation


## APPENDIX D. OVERVIEW OF OPEN-ENDED COMMENTS

Note: Due to the sensitive nature of some comments, actual comments will be provided to selected institutional leaders only. They will determine how best to distribute them in their respective areas.

## What do you like most about your experiences as a TTUHSC student?

Figure 6 illustrates the distribution of comments by broad theme/category for the first prompt.


- Faculty: The most popular theme includes positive comments about faculty. More specifically, students appreciate their professors' approachability, accessibility, timely communication, and support. Students perceive that faculty members genuinely care about them. In addition, students also commented on faculty experience, knowledge, and skills.
- Culture: The second most popular theme relates to the general culture and environment at TTUHSC. Numerous comments mention the small class and/or campus size as a favorite aspect of studying at TTUHSC. The atmosphere is often described as caring, friendly, and supportive - some even describe the immediate environment as "one big family." A large number of comments allude to the camaraderie among students. Others appreciate opportunities to become involved in local communities.
- Curriculum: Almost one-quarter of the comments allude to some aspect of the curricula. Many comments focus on the structure, diversity, and flexibility within their curriculum. This flexibility enables students to balance school with work and life. Students also feel that TTUHSC offers a high quality education in comparison to other schools, and they feel well-prepared to enter their chosen professions. Others refer to clinical experiences as strengths of their programs.
- Staff: One in twelve comments refers to TTUHSC staff members. Many students mention the "amazing staff" and how "approachable, understanding and knowledgeable" they are in general. Others highlight specific offices and employees.
- Distance Education: Almost ten percent of the responses to this prompt relate to some aspect of distance education. Many students appreciate the flexibility afforded by this mode of delivery - the ability to work on a degree while continuing to work full-time, being able to complete assignments at their own pace, and being able to live in a remote area while still furthering their education.
- Resources: Six percent of the comments allude to various resources available to students, such as the SimLife Center, library, and resources for research. Several comments also mention specific student activities hosted by TTUHSC and/or the schools (e.g. Global Health Lecture series, Orientation, round tables).
- Facilities: Some students refer to the aesthetic appeal and/or cleanliness of TTUHSC campus buildings.
- Interprofessional Teamwork: In a few comments, students express appreciation for opportunities to collaborate with students from other disciplines.

Do you have any suggestions for improving your experiences at TTUHSC? If so, please describe.
Figure 7 illustrates the distribution of comments by broad theme/category for the second prompt.


- Academics: With regard to potential improvements, many comments relate to academic experiences. Many express a desire to record all lectures and post these recordings in a timely fashion. Many students, especially those in distance education programs, request more interaction with instructors through audio/video lectures or demonstrations and less reading/self-teaching. Traditional students ask professors to engage students more extensively via interactive learning activities and fewer Powerpoint lectures.
- Resources: Several student comments relate to various resources available to them outside the classroom. Regarding library services, many students request extended hours, current materials, and more study rooms. Some graduate students desire additional career guidance. In addition, many comments relate to parking. Students request covered parking, security cameras on all campuses, and allotment of adequate spaces for students.
- Technology: Many student comments about potential improvements allude to technology. A more reliable wireless connection is needed. Students request a stronger signal and the ability to connect via multiple devices. Students also comment frequently on improving the usability of the learning management system (i.e. Sakai, Blackboard), while some indicate TechLink is not as reliable as it should be. In addition, students are concerned about website usability. Specific areas of concern include general visual appeal, search functionality, and broken links. Several students simply call for "better IT."
- Communication \& Organization: Comments in this category refer to student requests for improved communication and organization among different individuals. Many students desire improved communication between faculty and students. Students feel that instructors should be more available to students, respond to emails in a timely manner, and post grades more quickly. Students also want faculty to communicate better with each other in order to prevent miscommunications and better coordinate exam schedules.
- Culture: Some student comments relate to the overall campus culture. Professionalism was one concern. If students are expected to demonstrate professionalism, the same should be expected from faculty, staff, and administrators. Some request that no favoritism be shown.
- Student Services: Comments in this category reflect diverse suggestions for improving functions in Student Services and/or school-specific student affairs offices. Comment topics relate to orientation, graduation, registration, student complaints, Financial Aid, and health services.
- Interprofessional Teamwork: Some students would like to learn more about interprofessional teamwork and have more opportunities to interact with students from other schools.
- Facilities: Comments in this category relate to the physical environment. Some suggest renovations of older classrooms. Others comment that buildings as a whole are clean, but tables in study areas should be cleaned more often. Some students request better acoustics in large rooms and improved microphones.
- Student Life: Many students want more opportunities to make connections with other students across campuses and disciplines. A few indicate it's important to keep the Texas Tech football schedule in mind when requiring students to come to the Lubbock campus to fulfill program requirements.

Questions about this report can be submitted to the Office of Institutional Planning \& Assessment at (806) 743-2918.


[^0]:    * Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
    ** Standard deviation

[^1]:    * Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
    ** Standard deviation

[^2]:    * Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
    ** Standard deviation

[^3]:    * Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
    ** Standard deviation

[^4]:    * Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).

[^5]:    * Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
    ** Standard deviation

[^6]:    * Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
    ** Standard deviation

[^7]:    * Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: $3.50-4.49$, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
    ** Standard deviation

[^8]:    * Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
    ** Standard deviation

[^9]:    * Distance students from SOAHS, SON, and GGHSON did not evaluate this statement
    ${ }^{* *}$ Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: $3.50-4.49$, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
    *** Standard deviation

[^10]:    * Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: 3.50-4.49, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
    ** Standard deviation

[^11]:    * Means are color-coded to highlight areas of strength and potential improvement (Red: $\leq 3.49$, Yellow: $3.50-4.49$, Green: $\geq 5.50$ ).
    ** Standard deviation

